Print this report

Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: FARWELL H S Campus ID: 185902001 District Name: FARWELL ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

											Two						
					African			American		Pacific	or More	Special	Econ				
		State [District	Campus A	American H	lispanic	White	Indian	Asian			Ed		ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
STAAR Percent At	or Ab	ove Le	evel II S	atisfactor	y Standar	d (2016)	or Pha	se-in 1 Le	vel II (2015)							
End of Course																	
English I	2016	63%	79%	79%	-	81%	76%	-	-	-	-	*	72%	*	84%	76%	*
3	2015	66%	77%	77%	*	74%	85%	-	-	-	-	*	72%	*	90%	69%	*
English II	2016 2015		80%	80%	*	83%	73%	-	-	-	-	*	79%	*	87%	77%	*
	2015	09%	80%	80%		71%	92%	-	-	-	-		71%		84%	77%	
Algebra I	2016	76%	84%	84%	-	91%	77%	-	-	-	-	*	83%	*	86%	83%	*
•	2015	77%	73%	73%	-	74%	69%	-	-	-	-	*	73%	*	65%	79%	*
D: 1	0010	000/	000/	2001		000/	222/					*	000/		0=0/	070/	
Biology	2016 2015		86% 81%	86% 81%	*	86% 79%	86% 85%	-	-	-	-	*	83% 78%	*	85% 80%	87% 83%	*
	2013	00 /6	0170	0170		1970	00 /0	-	-	-	-		1076		00 /6	03 /6	
U.S. History	2016	90%	98%	98%	-	100%	95%	-	-	-	-	*	100%	*	96%	100%	*
	2015	88%	98%	98%	-	96%	100%	-	-	-	-	-	95%	*	96%	100%	*
All Crades																	
All Grades All Subjects	2016	74%	77%	86%	_	89%	82%	_	_	_	_	46%	85%	65%	88%	85%	71%
7 til Gabjeoto	2015		78%	82%	*	78%	89%	-	-	-	-	*	76%	35%		81%	100%
Reading	2016		75%	80%	-	82%	75%	-	-	-	-	42%	76%	*	85%	76%	*
	2015	74%	81%	79%	*	73%	89%	-	-	-	-	*	72%	*	87%	73%	*
Mathematics	2016	75%	75%	84%	_	91%	77%	_	_	_	_	*	83%	*	86%	83%	*
	2015		77%	73%	-	74%	69%	-	-	-	-	*	73%	*	65%	79%	*
Science	2016		87%	86%	- *	86%	86%	-	-	-	-	*	83%	*	85%	87%	*
	2015	75%	76%	81%	•	79%	85%	-	-	-	-	•	78%	•	80%	83%	•
Social Studies	2016	76%	83%	98%	_	100%	95%	-	_	_	_	*	100%	*	96%	100%	*
	2015	74%	68%	98%	-	96%	100%	-	-	-	-	-	95%	*	96%	100%	*
STAAR Percent at	Final	Level I	l or Abo	ove													
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2016	42%	41%	50%	-	44%	58%	-	-	-	-	0%	44%	6%	56%	45%	0%
	2015	38%	37%	47%	*	37%	67%	-	-	-	-	*	37%	0%	50%	45%	57%
Pooding.	2046	420/	400/	E 40/		47 0/	660/					00/	470/	*	GEO/	470/	*
Reading	2016 2015		40% 38%	54% 46%	*	47% 38%	66% 65%	-	-	-	-	0% *	47% 38%	*	65% 61%	47% 36%	*
	2010	10 /0	0070	.070		3370	0070						5570		0170	0070	
Mathematics	2016		39%	40%	-	26%	55%	-	-	-	-	*	33%	*	41%	39%	*
	2015	36%	35%	31%	-	26%	46%	-	-	-	-	*	27%	*	20%	39%	*
Science	2016	44%	45%	28%	-	18%	38%	-	-	-	-	*	17%	*	40%	17%	*

Social Studies	2016	45%	51%	67%	-	66%	70%	-	-	-	-	*	64%	*	72%	64%	*
	2015	41%	44%	76%	-	57%	93%	-	-	-	-	-	62%	*	75%	77%	*

STAAR Percent at Level III Advanced

All Grades																	
All Subjects	2016	17%	14%	13%	-	8%	21%	-	-	-	-	0%	8%	0%	12%	14%	0%
·	2015	14%	11%	9%	*	6%	13%	-	-	-	-	*	4%	0%	8%	9%	0%
Reading	2016	16%	14%	8%	-	5%	13%	-	-	-	-	0%	3%	*	9%	7%	*
	2015	15%	12%	3%	*	4%	0%	-	-	-	-	*	1%	*	4%	1%	*
Mathematics	2016	17%	14%	11%	-	0%	23%	-	-	-	-	*	0%	*	5%	17%	*
	2015	14%	13%	15%	-	11%	23%	-	-	-	-	*	8%	*	10%	18%	*
Science	2016	15%	15%	7%	-	0%	14%	-	-	-	-	*	0%	*	10%	4%	*
	2015	14%	8%	7%	*	3%	15%	-	-	-	-	*	3%	*	5%	9%	*
Social Studies	2016	21%	22%	28%	-	21%	40%	-	-	-	-	*	23%	*	24%	30%	*
	2015	18%	11%	18%	-	9%	26%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	*	17%	19%	*

STAAR Participation (All Grades)

All Tests	2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%	- 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	-	-	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%
Reading	2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%	- 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	-	-	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%
Mathematics	2016 2015	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	-	-	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%
Science	2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%	- 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	-	-	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%
Social Studies	2016 2015	98% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	-	-	-	*	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%

STAAR Participation Results by Assessment Type for Students Served in Special Education Settings (All Grades)

Reading Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	98%	95%	86%	-	75%	100%	-	-	-	-	86%	80%	*	*	100%	*
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2016	13%	12%	0%	-	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	*	*	0%	*
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	73%	80%	86%	-	75%	100%	-	-	-	-	86%	80%	*	*	100%	*
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	11%	2%	0%	-	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	*	*	0%	*
% of Non-Participants	2016	2%	5%	14%	-	25%	0%	-	-	-	-	14%	20%	*	*	0%	*
Mathematics Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	99%	97%	86%	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	86%	*	*	*	*	*
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2016	12%	12%	0%	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	0%	*	*	*	*	*
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	75%	82%	86%	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	86%	*	*	*	*	*
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	12%	3%	0%	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	0%	*	*	*	*	*
% of Non-Participants	2016	1%	3%	14%	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	14%	*	*	*	*	*

^{&#}x27;*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

- '-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
- 'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
- '?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

Performance Status - State	All Students	African sAmerican	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander		Econ		ELL (Current & Monitored			Total Eligible	Percent of Eligible Measures Met
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Y	0070	Y	Υ	0070	0070	0070	0070	Y	0070	0070		4	4	100
Mathematics	Y		•	·					·				1	1	100
Writing	•												0	0	100
Science	Υ												1	1	100
Social Studies	Ϋ́		Υ						Υ				3	3	100
Total	•		•						•				9	9	100
Performance Status - Federa	al														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N		N	Ν	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N			n/a			
Mathematics	N				n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ				4	4	100
Mathematics	Υ								Υ				2	2	100
Total													6	6	100
Federal Graduation Status (1	Гarget: Se	e Reason C	odes)												
Graduation Target Met	Υ												1	1	100
Reason Code ***	а														
Total													1	1	100
District: Met Federal Limits of Reading	on Alterna	itive Assess	ments												
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Mathematics															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Total															
Overall Total													16	16	100

⁺ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90% c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria. \\

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
Performance Rates												

^{***} Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	71	-	47	24	-	-	-	-	44	5	5	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	86	-	57	29	-	-	-	-	56	10	8	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	83%	-	82%	83%	-	-	-	-	79%	50%	63%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	38	-	21	17	-	-	-	-	20	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	44	-	23	21	-	-	-	-	24	*	*	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	86%	-	91%	81%	-	-	-	-	83%	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	37	-	19	18	-	-	-	-	20	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	42	-	22	20	-	-	-	-	23	*	*	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	88%	-	86%	90%	-	-	-	-	87%	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	55	-	36	19	-	-	-	-	37	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	56	-	36	20	-	-	-	-	37	*	*	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	98%	-	100%	95%	-	-	-	-	100%	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme												
Number Participating	92	-	60	32	-	-	-	-	61	14	n/a	9
Total Students	92	-	60	32	-	-	-	-	61	14	n/a	9
Participation Rate	100%	-	100%	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asset												
Number Participating	46	-	24	22	-	-	-	-	25	7	n/a	*
Total Students	46	-	24	22	-	-	-	-	25	7	n/a	*
Participation Rate	100%	-	100%	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	n/a	*

- * Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- ** When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	A 11	A f			A !		Davida	Two or	F	0		- 1.1
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS) (Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	luation Rate	e (Gr 9-12): (Class of 201	5								
Number Graduated	25	-	13	12	-	-	-	-	9	*	-	n/a
Total in Class	26	-	14	12	-	-	-	-	10	*	-	-
Graduation Rate	96.2%	-	92.9%	100.0%	-	-	-	-	90.0%	*	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	luation Rate	e (Gr 9-12): (Class of 201	4								
Number Graduated	34	-	**	20	-	*	-	-	9	*	*	n/a
Total in Class	35	-	**	20	-	*	-	-	10	*	*	*
Graduation Rate	97.1%	-	92.9%	100.0%	-	*	-	-	90.0%	*	*	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rat	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14									
Number Graduated	34	-	**	20	-	*	-	-	9	*	*	n/a
Total in Class	35	-	**	20	-	*	-	-	10	*	*	*
Graduation Rate	97.1%	-	92.9%	100.0%	-	*	-	-	90.0%	*	*	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

Mathematics
Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

- * Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- ** When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports. Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: NoPriority School Reason: N/A

Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
Bachelors	18.3	85.9%	86.9%	74.7%
Masters	3.0	14.1%	13.1%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty

Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General	Special	Total
		Education	Education	
Total Number of Teachers		18	0	18
Total Number of Classes		113	0	113
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	113	0	113
	Percent	100.00%	•	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%		0.00%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of	Teachers
	Elem (PK-6)	 secondary (7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0
Non-renewable	0	0
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number of Teachers	
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	0	0
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Year Enrolled in Higher Education	Campus	District	State
2013-14	51.5%	51.5%	57.5%
2012-13	40.0%	40.0%	56.9%

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

				%	%	%
			%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
	_	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade	Subject	Student Group	%
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities	72
		Limited English Proficient	92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	80
		Limited English Proficient	95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	81

90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment